

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/760,141	FARNWORTH, BRIAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANISH DESAI	1794	

All Participants:

(1) ANISH DESAI.

(2) Dianne Burkhard (Attorney).

Date of Interview: 17 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
27, 30, 44-47

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Callie E. Shosho/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794
 /Anish Desai/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: approx 11.30A

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 03/16/10, in response to the Examiner's query that claim 27 as presently amended appears to be substantial duplicate of claim 30, Ms. Burkhard provided reasons as to why claim 27 is not a substantial duplicate of claim 30. Upon further consideration of claim 27 in view of Mr. Burkhard's arguments, on 03/17/10 the Examiner agreed. Additionally, the Examiner stated that following amendments are necessary before the application can be allowed: (1) deletion of "The present invention is directed to" in abstract, (2) update the continuity data on page 1 of the specification to indicate that CIP application SN 10/207,626 is now abandoned, and (3) in each of claims 44-47, replace "a flat insulation structure" with "the flat insulation structure". Ms. Burkhard gave the Examiner authorization to amend the application.